

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/630,939		07/31/2003	Mitsuaki Osame	12732-161001	1228
26171	7590	07/14/2005		EXAMINER	
FISH & RIG	CHARD	SON P.C.	VU, DAVID HUNG		
P.O. BOX 10				APTINIT	54 555 NI WASS
MINNEAPC	MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2828	
				DATE MAILED: 07/14/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/630,939	OSAME ET AL.	(any)				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	David Vu	2828					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	iress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a lion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON a statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this cor BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
• •	This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for a	_						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 7-12 and 19-35 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 7-12 and 19-35 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction is	thdrawn from consideration.						
Application Papers		•					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection	•	` '					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the control							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. Iments have been received in A e priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	opplication No received in this National S	Stage				
Attachment(s)	_	·					
1) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date					
Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/9 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	· —	nformal Patent Application (PTO-	152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/630,939 Page 2

Art Unit: 2828

DETAILED ACTION

Allowance of the claims has been withdrawn in light of newly applied prior arts.

Drawings

1. Figures 5A-5B should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abevance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 10-12, 27-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 10-11, the recitation "...a power source for <u>fixing</u> an electric potential output to the source line..." renders the claim unclear as to what applicant's trying to claim since the function of the power source is only <u>for supplying</u> power to the source

line, not for fixing an electrical potential output. Similar claim language is also recited for the first and second power sources.

Note that such "fixing" scheme by the power source is also not explained anywhere in the specification. Applicant should details how the power source can "fix" an electric potential output so as to more clearly define the claimed subject matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 7-12 and 21-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Koyama, U.S. Pat No 6,760,004.

Regarding claims 7-8, 10-11 Koyama discloses the claimed invention including a source line driving circuit 100; a first pixel comprising a first source line S1 and a first power source line V1; and a second pixel comprising a second source line S2 and a second power source line V2, wherein a last stage 104 of the source line driving circuit is electrically connected to the first source line and the second source line, wherein inherently a first power source is electrically connected to both the last stage and the first power source line, and wherein inherently a second power source electrically

Art Unit: 2828

connected to both the last stage and the second power source line, see, for example, figures 1-2, 4, column 2, lines 62+, column 3, lines 1-16, column 4, lines 10-19, column 6, lines 19-22, column 8, lines 25-27. Note that in a circuit all components are electrically connected together.

Regarding claims 9,12, inherently the power sources supply different or the same power so as to adjust brightness of each pixel.

Regarding claims 21-28, columns 27-28 discloses limitations, e.g., matrix light emitting device, video camera, digital camera etc, as claimed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koyama in view of Yamazaki et al (herein after Yamazaki), U.S. Pub. No 2002/0018060.

Koyama as discussed from the above, essentially disclose the claimed invention but fail to explicitly disclose the last stage includes at least a level shifter. Yamazaki discloses a light emitting device with a level shifter circuit in the last stage, i.e., the stage after amplification (figure 3). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's claimed invention was made to have provided the

Art Unit: 2828

Koyama reference with the level shifter so as to regulate the output to the source signal lines; thus, data signals would have been stabilized.

8. Claims 29-30 and 32-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koyama in view of Chimura, U.S. Pub. No 2005/0012704.

Koyama as discussed from the above, essentially disclose the claimed invention including first TFT in the pixel portion (figures 3-4); a gate line driving circuit inherently connected to gate signal lines G1. Koyama fails to explicitly disclose the source line driving circuit comprising a second TFT connected to the source line. Chimura discloses source line driving circuit 12002 comprising a second TFT connected to the source line 12007 (figure 12, paragraph [005]). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's claimed invention was made to have provided the Koyama reference with the source line driving circuit having a second TFT connected to the source line; thus, data signals supplied to the pixels would have been turned on or off.

Claims 32-35, columns 27-28 of the Koyama reference discloses limitations, e.g., active matrix light emitting device, video camera, digital camera etc, as claimed.

9. Claim 31 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koyama and Chimura in view of Yamazaki.

Koyama and Chimura as discussed from the above, essentially disclose the claimed invention but fail to explicitly disclose the last stage includes at least a level shifter. Yamazaki discloses a light emitting device with a level shifter circuit in the last stage, i.e., the stage after amplification (figure 3). It would have been obvious to one

Art Unit: 2828

having ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's claimed invention was made to have provided the Koyama and Chimura combination with the level shifter so as to regulate the output to the source signal lines; thus, data signals would have been stabilized.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Vu whose telephone number is (571) 272-1831. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8am-430pm.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free)

David Vu

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2828